



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States, Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/671,038	09/27/2000	Nicholas Paluzzi	07072-122001	5490	
22494	7590 02/02/2004		EXAMINER		
DALY, CROWLEY & MOFFORD, LLP			DANG, KHANH NMN		
SUITE 101 275 TURNPI	E 101 URNPIKE STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	1A 02021-2310	•	2111 DATE MAILED: 02/02/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

84

			<u> 7</u>
. ^	Application No.	Applicant(s)	J
Advisory Action	09/671,038	PALUZZI, NICHOLAS	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Khanh Dang	2111	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	••
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPEARER, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	 a timely filed amendment wh 	ication. A proper reply to iich places the applicatio	n in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 7 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 87 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 asion and the corresponding amount of the distautory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See M 136(a) and the appropriate extense fee. The appropriate extension the final Office action; or (2) as	PEP Insion fee In fee under Is set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	's Brief must be filed within the FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.	
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered t	pecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	aterially reducing or simp	lifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of	f finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed am	nendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: S		nsidered but does NOT p	lace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which were n	ewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v	nt(s) a)□ will not be entered or vould be rejected is provided be	b)⊠ will be entered and elow or appended.	an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	: :		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapproved by	y the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	··	
10. Other:		Khars Pa	les .
		Khanh Dan Primary Exam	ig iiner



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Lentz et al. discloses granting default access to the bus to a predetermined one of the candidates (in Lentz et al., the predetermined candidate is the one that is assigned a highest-priority when no requests from other candidates are made. As pinted out in the last Office Actions, it is clear from Lentz et al. that each candidate is assigned a predetermined intrinsic priority, and arbitration is based on a fixed or dynamic priority scheme). Thus, it is clear that in the absence of any bus request from other candidates, the bus is granted to a predetermined candidate based on a fixed priority scheme; and in the absence of fixed arbitration, bus access is granted using dynamic arbitration. Also, contrary to Applicants' argument, not just priority is assigned to a predetermined candidate but also bus access is granted to the predetermined candidate. There is no reason to assign priority to a candidate without giving it the access to the bus. See at least for example, Fig. 3 and col. 9, line 58 to col. 10, line 17..